Measuring Logbook Usage to Support Work From Home During Covid-19 Pandemic Using Technology Acceptance Model

Muhammad Yusuf¹, Rimba Prasasti², Ageng Muktianto³

^{1,2,3}Program Studi Ilmu Komputer Fakultas Teknologi Informasi Universitas Nusa Mandiri Jalan Kramat Raya No. 18, Senen, Jakarta Pusat Email : ¹14002473@nusamandiri.ac.id, ²masr1mba@gmail.com, ³ag3ngmukti@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

After Coronavirus have first detected in Indonesia, the Government issued a Large-Scale Social Restriction (PSBB) policy to reduce its spread. One of the PSBB policies is working from home (WFH). Directorate General of Taxes builds a Logbook to monitor employee activities such as reporting work, employee health, attendance, and location detection. This study measures the use of Logbooks to support WFH during the Covid-19 pandemic using an extension of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) by adding the variables of habit, perceived risk, performance measurement, and trust. Hypothesis testing using reliability test, validity test, Kolmogorov Smirnov test, t-test, and SEM test. Results of the research obtained that logbook could be accepted by employees to support WFH during the Covid-19 pandemic. Keywords: Coronavirus, logbook, working from home, TAM

ABSTRAK

Setelah virus Corona terdeteksi pertama kali di Indonesia, Pemerintah mengeluarkan kebijakan Pembatasan Sosial Berskala Besar (PSBB) untuk mengurangi penyebarannya. Salah satu kebijakan PSBB adalah bekerja dari rumah (WFH). Direktorat Jenderal Pajak membangun Logbook untuk memantau aktifitas pegawai seperti melaporkan pekerjaan, kesehatan pegawai, absensi dan deteksi lokasi. Penelitian ini mengukur penggunaan Logbook untuk mendukung WFH selama pandemi Covid-19 menggunakan perpanjangan Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) dengan menambahkan variabel kebiasaan, risiko yang dirasakan, pengukuran kinerja, dan kepercayaan. Pengujian hipotesis menggunakan uji realibitias, uji validitas, uji kolmogorov smirnov, uji t dan uji SEM. Hasil penelitian diperoleh Logbook dapat diterima oleh pegawai untuk mendukung WFH selama pandemi Covid-19.

Kata Kunci : Virus corona, logbook, bekerja dari rumah, TAM

Introduction

Occurred in December 2019, Covid-19 virus patients were first detected in Wuhan, China [1]. Two cases of the COVID-19 virus were confirmed in Indonesia on March 2, 2020. After that, more cases were confirmed. The spread of this virus is an unprecedented event [2]. The government declared the Covid-19 virus as a special emergency for an endemic disaster. To break the chain of virus spread, they have made policies, namely wearing masks, washing hands, keeping distance, reducing mobility, and avoiding crowds [3]. Large-Scale Social Restrictions (PSBB) are restrictions on certain activities of residents in an area infected with COVID-19 by the government to prevent the spread of the virus [4]. However, the economy must continue to move forward so it is necessary to make efforts to mitigate and prepare the workplace as optimally as possible to adapt through changes in lifestyle in a pandemic situation (New Normal Arrangement) [5].

Government also pays attention to health and safety aspects for state civil servant (ASN) by

implementing work from home but remaining productive and adaptive in the new normal arrangement and being able to monitor the health of its employees during the pandemic [6]. The role of the Directorate General of Taxes (DGT) to collect tax revenues and commit to archive targets [7]. Now, is a challenge it with implementation of work from home. The application system as a work tool that was previously only accessed at work, is now accessed at home. Monitoring work activities at home is a need for supervision [8].

DGT built Logbook to implement the new normal arrangement. This application is for recording employee activities during work from home, Health Self Assessment, attendance, and location detection. The main purpose of this research is to answer the question: "Does Logbook to support work from home at DGT?".

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) to find a correlation between variables. This model had two variables, namely the independent variable which included Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use, the dependent variable which included Attitude Towards Use. Perceived Usefulness is defined as the benefits that users get to improve their performance. Perceived Ease of Use is defined as the ease that users get when using it. While Attitude Towards Use is the attitude and intention when users use it [9]. This research expands TAM by adding habits, perceived risk, performance measurement, and trust. Figure 1 shows the proposed research model using the hypotheses given.



Figure 1 Proposed Research Model

This proposed research model from several hypotheses as follows:

Habit (H) has a significant effect on h1: Perceived Ease of Use (PU) h2: Perceived Ease of Use (PEU) has a significant effect on Perceived usefulness (PU) h3: Perceived Ease of Use (PEU) has a significant effect on Attitude Toward Using (ATU) h4: Perceived Usefulness (PU) has a significant effect on Attitude Toward Using (ATU) Perceived Usefulness (PU) has a h5: significant effect on Acceptance of IT (AIT) h6: Attitude Toward Using (ATU) has a significant effect on Acceptance of IT (AIT) h7: Perceived Risk Covid-19 (PR) has a significant effect on Acceptance of IT (AIT) h8: Performance Measurement (PM) has a significant effect on Acceptance of IT (AIT) h9: Trust (T) has a significant effect on Acceptance of IT (AIT)

Method

This research measured system acceptance using quantitative methods and distributed questionnaires

to samples from populations. Samples are taken for efficiency and to know the results of research [10]. The stages of this are carried out using the process flow as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 Proposed Research Model

The population in this research was 45,677 DGT employee [11]. With a 5% error rate, determining samples using the Slovin formula (1) resulted in 395 samples. Due to the constraints of the COVID-19 pandemic, data collection was conducted by distributing online questionnaires and obtaining 395 samples. Questions contain the definitions and indicators of each variable that are related to the purpose of this research. They are Habit, Perceived ease of use, Perceived usefulness, Attitude Toward Using, Acceptance of IT, Perceived Risk Covid-19, The Performance Measurement, Trust. questionnaire refers to a 5-point Likert scale (1: Strongly Disagree and 5: Strongly Agree).

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2} \tag{1}$$

Reliability and validity tests were used to check the reliability and validity of the data collected. Checking the consistency of the data is needed to measure the proper research construction. The method that is often used to calculate reliability is to use Cronbach's Alpha formula (2). Cronbach's is a function of the number of items in the test, the mean covariance between pairs of items, and the variance of the total score. Validity to measure the probability that the data corresponds accurately to real using formula (3). The validity of a measurement tool is the degree to which the tool measures what it claims to measure [12].

$$\alpha_{st} = \frac{N.r}{1 + (N-1).r} \tag{2}$$

$$r_{xy} = \frac{N \Sigma xY - \Sigma x \Sigma Y}{\sqrt{N \Sigma x^2 - (\Sigma x)^2} \sqrt{N \Sigma Y^2 - (\Sigma Y)^2}}$$
(3)

For testing homogeneity and distribution used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test formula (4). Analysis of this research data using Hypothesis and SEM tests. Hypothesis Tests are used to confirm whether or not a hypothesis is accepted [13]. Hypothesis Tests using t-test formula (5).

$$D = \max_{1 \le x \le N} (F(Y_i) - \frac{i-1}{N}, \frac{i-1}{N} - F(Y_i))$$
(4)

$$t = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{s\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \tag{5}$$

Result And Discussion

Data collection of 395 respondents in the form of an online survey distributed online on social networks. Table 1 describes a detailed overview of respondents based on demographic classification. The age range of most respondents is between 25-40 years. It consists of: 81.3% male and 18.7% female, with the last education diploma 28.0%, undergraduate 60.8%, and master's 10.9%.

Table 1. Demographics Respondents Category Percentage Freq Gender Male 321 81.3% Female 74 18.7% <25 43 10.9% Age 25 - 40295 74.7% >40 57 14.4% Education High School 1 0.2% Diploma 136 28.0% Bachelor 295 60.8% Master 53 10.9% Work <5 50 12.7% experience 5 - 1089 22.5% 11 - 20219 55.4% >20 37 9.4%

Testing is to find out how valid the research variable items are used. The value of validity and reliability is influenced by the measured subject and object also the items variable itself. Reliability testing was tested with Cronbach's Alpha analysis which meant that it must have a minimum value of 0.50 to be accepted. Table 2 shows that the values obtained for the measurement model meet the requirements.

| Table 2. Reliability | y Test of All Variable |
|----------------------|------------------------|
|----------------------|------------------------|

| Variable Item | Cronbach | Description |
|-------------------------|----------|-------------|
| | Alpha | |
| Habit | 0.79496 | Reliable |
| Perceived ease of use | 0.78574 | Reliable |
| Perceived Usefulness | 0.86839 | Reliable |
| Attitude Toward Using | 0.56842 | Reliable |
| Acceptance of IT | 0.66525 | Reliable |
| Perceived Risk | 0.88781 | Reliable |
| Performance Measurement | 0.87294 | Reliable |
| Trust | 0.82393 | Reliable |
| | | |

The results of the validity test are shown in table 3. This test is measured by comparing the R-statistics with a value greater than the R-table (0.1381), then the results are accepted. The validity and reliability tests show the results are accepted then it can be continued on the next test.

Table 3. Validity Test of Habit Variable

| Variable Item | | R -statistics | Description |
|-----------------|------|----------------------|-------------|
| Habit | H1 | 0.75 | Valid |
| | H2 | 0.82 | Valid |
| | H3 | 0.78 | Valid |
| | H4 | 0.71 | Valid |
| | H5 | 0.73 | Valid |
| Perceived Ease | PEU1 | 0.81 | Valid |
| of Use | PEU2 | 0.82 | Valid |
| | PEU3 | 0.75 | Valid |
| | PEU4 | 0.80 | Valid |
| | PEU5 | 0.71 | Valid |
| | PEU6 | 0.76 | Valid |
| Perceived | PU1 | 0.70 | Valid |
| Usefulness | PU2 | 0.75 | Valid |
| | PU3 | 0.76 | Valid |
| | PU4 | 0.77 | Valid |
| | PU5 | 0.70 | Valid |
| | PU6 | 0.45 | Valid |
| Attitude Toward | ATU1 | 0.68 | Valid |
| Using | ATU2 | 0.83 | Valid |
| | ATU3 | 0.64 | Valid |
| Acceptance of | AIT1 | 0.77 | Valid |
| IT | AIT2 | 0.78 | Valid |
| | AIT3 | 0.63 | Valid |
| | AIT4 | 0.57 | Valid |
| Perceived Risk | PR1 | 0.90 | Valid |
| | PR2 | 0.84 | Valid |
| | PR3 | 0.89 | Valid |
| | PR4 | 0.83 | Valid |
| Performance | PM1 | 0.91 | Valid |
| Measurement | PM2 | 0.92 | Valid |
| | PM3 | 0.91 | Valid |
| | PM4 | 0.81 | Valid |
| | PM5 | 0.50 | Valid |
| Trust | T1 | 0.75 | Valid |
| | T2 | 0.71 | Valid |
| | T3 | 0.81 | Valid |

| T4 | 0.80 | Valid |
|----|------|-------|
| T5 | 0.76 | Valid |

For testing normal distribution, the Kolmogorov Smirnov test was implemented. If the result with a value greater than 0.05 has a normal distribution, it is shown in table 4. All test results have normal distribution values.

Table 4. Kolmogorov Smirnov Test of Hypothesis

| Hypothesis | Ks-statistic | Description |
|------------|--------------|-------------|
| h1 | 0.85319 | Normal |
| h2 | 0.46412 | Normal |
| h3 | 0.99996 | Normal |
| h4 | 0.99996 | Normal |
| h5 | 0.96321 | Normal |
| h6 | 0.95193 | Normal |
| h7 | 0.38991 | Normal |
| h8 | 0.76712 | Normal |
| h9 | 0.75446 | Normal |

Results of hypothesis testing are shown in table 5. The first hypothesis (h1) states that the H variable has a significant effect on the PEU variable with the opposite effect. In the second hypothesis (h2), PEU has a significant effect on PU. Third hypothesis (h3), PEU has a significant effect on ATU. Fourth hypothesis (h4), PU has a significant effect on ATU. Fifth hypothesis (h5), PU has a significant effect on AIT. Sixth hypothesis (h6), ATU has a significant effect on AIT with the opposite effect. Seventh hypothesis (h7), PR has a significant effect on AIT with the opposite effect. Eighth hypothesis (h8), PM has a significant effect on AIT. Ninth hypothesis (h9), T has a significant effect on AIT.

| t-statistic | p-value | Description |
|-------------|--|---|
| -26.16803 | 5.24e-105 | Accepted |
| 8.15031 | 1.52e-15 | Accepted |
| 98.35758 | 0.0 | Accepted |
| 71.89821 | 4.45e-282 | Accepted |
| 43.51918 | 2.38e-190 | Accepted |
| -40.45747 | 1.82e-191 | Accepted |
| -8.42997 | 2.52e-16 | Accepted |
| 19.97101 | 1.35e-69 | Accepted |
| 18.29761 | 2.91e-60 | Accepted |
| | t-statistic -26.16803 8.15031 98.35758 71.89821 43.51918 -40.45747 -8.42997 19.97101 18.29761 | t-statistic p-value -26.16803 5.24e-105 8.15031 1.52e-15 98.35758 0.0 71.89821 4.45e-282 43.51918 2.38e-190 -40.45747 1.82e-191 -8.42997 2.52e-16 19.97101 1.35e-69 18.29761 2.91e-60 |

Table 5. Hypothesis Test Result

Conclusion

Logbook can be considered a good application and accepted by users based on the extended TAM model. Respondents showed a significant influence on Habit, Perceived Ease of Use, Perceived Usefulness, Attitude Toward Using, Acceptance of IT, Perceived Risk, Performance Measure, and Trust. However, the influence of Attitude Toward Using and Perceived Risk as opposed to Acceptance of IT needs to be considered when using Logbooks.

With Logbook, it helps DGT in overcoming work from home problems through systems such as performance reporting, attendance, health selfassessment, and location detection. This research provides recommendations to DGT to continue to use Logbook as an interactive media to support work from home and to improve the influence of Attitude Toward Using and Perceived Risk.

Reference

- L. Yang *et al.*, "Epidemiological and clinical features of 200 hospitalized patients with corona virus disease 2019 outside Wuhan, China: A descriptive study," *J. Clin. Virol.*, vol. 129, no. March, p. 104475, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104475.
- [2] R. Djalante *et al.*, "Review and analysis of current responses to COVID-19 in Indonesia: Period of January to March 2020," *Prog. Disaster Sci.*, vol. 6, p. 100091, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100091.
- [3] Kemenkes RI, "SE Kemenkes No. HK.02.02-I-385-2020 tentang Penggunaan Masker dan Penyediaan Sarana CTPS.pdf.".
- [4] G. of Indonesia, "Peraturan Pemerintah (PP) tentang Pembatasan Sosial Berskala Besar dalam Rangka Percepatan Penanganan Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)," 2020.
- [5] Kemenkes RI, "KMK Nomor Hk.01.07/Menkes/328/2020 Tentang Panduan Pencegahan Dan Pengendalian Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) Di Tempat Kerja," *Menteri Kesehat. Republik Indones.*, vol. 2019, pp. 1–39, 2020.
- [6] Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi RI, "Surat Edaran Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi Nomor 59 Tahun 2020 tentang Sistem Kerja Pegawai Aparatur Sipil Negara dalam Tatanan Normal Baru," *Kkp.Go.Id*, pp. 1–9, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://kkp.go.id/djprl/jaskel/artikel/19901surat-edaran-menteri-pendayagunaan-aparaturnegara-dan-reformasi-birokrasi-nomor-58tahun-2020-tentang-sistem-kerja-pegawaiaparatur-sipil-negara-dalam-tatanan-normalbaru.
- [7] I. I. D. Sastri, N. P. Pertamawati, and L. Datrini, "Commitment of Directorate General of Taxes To Build Public Trust in The Efforts to Achieve Target Tax Revenue," no. 2017, pp. 1–5, 2021, doi: 10.4108/eai.21-12-2020.2305840.
- [8] I. Nasution and R. Rosanti, "Pengaruh Bekerja Dari Rumah (Work From Home) Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Bpkp," J. Ilm. Akunt.

Budg., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 9-14, 2020.

- [9] F. D. Davis, "Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology," *MIS Q.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–340, 1989, doi: 10.5962/bhl.title.33621.
- [10] I. G. B. R. Utama, "Teknik Sampling dan Penentuan Jumlah sampel," Univ. Dhyana Pura, Bali, no. January, p. 23, 2016, doi: 10.13140/RG.2.1.5187.0808.
- [11] Kementerian Keuangan, "Biro SDM Kemenkeu," 2021. https://www.sdm.kemenkeu.go.id/ (accessed Sep. 21, 2023).
- [12] R. Brains, W., and Manheim, *Empirical Political Analysis 8th edition*. Pearson Higher Education, 2011.
- [13] M. Xu, D. Fralick, J. Z. Zheng, B. Wang, X. M. Tu, and C. Feng, "The differences and similarities between two-sample t-test and paired t-test," *Shanghai Arch. Psychiatry*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 184–188, 2017, doi: 10.11919/j.issn.1002-0829.217070.
- [14] M. Sarstedt, J. F. Hair, C. Nitzl, C. M. Ringle, and M. C. Howard, "Beyond a tandem analysis of SEM and PROCESS: Use of PLS-SEM for mediation analyses!," *Int. J. Mark. Res.*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 288–299, 2020, doi: 10.1177/1470785320915686.