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#### Abstract

The objective of this research was to know the most difficult components of reading comprehension that encountered by students. The researchers categorized as quantitative research, This research used descriptive research. In conducting the research, the sample of this research are 70 students The subject of the research was the Second grade of SMA NEGERI 12 Pekanbaru, while the object was students" difficulties on reading comprehension. The technique of taking sample was purposive sampling. The Reading comprehension Test was used in collecting the data. The technique of analyzing data was using pearson product moment correlation and it was eased by using counting the percentage through proportion technique. Based on the data analysis, the researchers concluded that that the students still struggles on reading comprehension, The students' difficult component is on the indicator 2 where the students" are able to identify supporting details was 62.8 (19.29\%).
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## 1 Introduction

Reading is a fundamental skill that is closely related to other skills such as writing, speaking and listening. Students need to read a lot and understand the reading material in order to get better results in the learning process. According to Grabe and Stoller (2002), reading can be taught to draw information from texts and form interpretations of that information. This means that reading is also a gateway for students to get information and knowledge in the educational process. A student who enjoys reading and spends a lot of time in reading activities also has good writing skills. Reading allows students to improve their vocabulary and writing skills. Conversely, a student with poor reading ability will have difficulty in the learning process.

In addition, students was embarrassed to adapt to their school environment. Conversely, a good teacher must be better at mastering grammar and understanding vocabulary. The importance of teaching reading is to build students' skills and knowledge to understand reading textual material. For example, the ability to read a wide variety of texts in English, the ability to adapt the reading style to the purpose of reading (i, skimming, scanning), and the ability to look critically at the content of the text (Hedge, 2003, cited in Alousef, 2005).

A good reading means understanding what the researcher is trying to convey with his writing. This means that when reading, a reader needs 2 background knowledge and
competence. Razali and Razali (2013) state that some readers use their background knowledge and experience to formulate the meaning of the text in the reading process, then readers would combine the ideas in the text with what they already know to understand the text to understand. Text. Even most people can understand what they read after reading it word for word, and they spend a lot of time repeating difficult phrases in the text. Reading comprehension is the ability to understand the information in a text and to interpret exactly what is meant by the text (Grabe and Stoller, 2002). This means that reading comprehension is the ability to read text, process it and understand its meaning. Individual ability to understand text is influenced by their nature and abilities, one of which is the ability to draw inferences. Reading comprehension could also improve vocabulary and writing skills.

According to Nuttal (1982), there are five aspects of reading comprehension that students need to understand in order to understand texts well, such as these aspects are seen as difficulties with which the students understand the text. In secondary schools, reading instruction aims to develop students' reading comprehension skills. All schools implement curricula relevant to the specific needs of their students. The curriculum is more positive, which could achieve the goal of increasing motivational learning, knowledge and skills, and developing values or even a positive attitude. Currently, most schools apply the 2013 syllabus as the current syllabus.

Based on the basic competence by the Ministry of Education and Culture in 2013, which is the Basic Skills of 1st Grade Sixth Form 2013, 3 students are expected to understand social functions, textual structure and linguistic features when reading texts (descriptive, narrative, retold text) understand, etc. in oral and written form. However, in real-world situations, students had not met curriculum expectations and have low reading comprehension. Obviously, texts such as English magazines, newspapers, and articles play an important role as authentic materials to improve students' reading skills and knowledge of current affairs. But it is not easy for students to read and understand.

There is previous research that shows similarities to this research conducted by Zuhra (2015). This research addresses the reading comprehension difficulties of high school students. Her research aims to find out what types of reading comprehension questions students found most difficult on reading tests and why they encountered these difficulties on national exams. As a result, he found that the most difficult types of reading comprehension questions students faced were conclusion questions because they did not understand the questions being asked. This is due to their weakness in distinguishing the characteristics of different types in reading comprehension questions. However, it is important to be aware of the difficulties in reading comprehension. Students' problems may differ from school to school.

Based on the preliminary research, researchers did the interview and observations, it turns out that most students at SMA Negeri 12 Pekanbaru still had difficulty understanding text reading materials such as the difficulty in drawing conclusions, identifying main ideas, and finding references. Some of the reasons for this are that they don't know how to apply reading comprehension strategies and lose focus on reading comprehension. However, most students had difficulty reading English texts from newspapers, articles, textbooks and magazines. In this investigation, the researchers found that the students had low score for English subject . Ideally, the tenth grade students of SMA could comprehend the text. In fact, some of them still got difficulties to
comprehend the narrative text. It could be seen from the students ${ }^{\text {ec }}$ in ability to find the topic and the explicit information of the narrative text. Moreover, because of their limitation of vocabulary, they could not find moral value. Consequently, they also could not find out the reference words of narrative text that they read. By looking his problem, the researcher found symptoms as follows: Some of the students are not able to understand the topic well; Some of the students are not able to find main idea in the paragraph text; Some of the students are not able to find the topic in the paragraph of text; Some of the students are not able to get information from the text; Some of the students are struggles with lack vocabularies The research gap between initial research which was conducted in 2023 with the previous research done by Zuhra 2015 researcher found significant different the two research in 2015 the students still had no idea how to obtain from the text the situation quiet chaotic for student at the time, when the researcher deliver the test the researcher find out from the data students most struggling with finding main idea, its was the lowest score and compared to primarily research or initial research which conducting in 2023. the researchers find the different result the student seems struggle the most with finding the explicit meaning or moral from narrative text due to this situation, the researchers were interested in analyzing students' difficulties components in reading comprehension.

As a formulation of the Problem of this research was What are the most difficult components of reading comprehension. And the objective of the study was to know the most difficult components of reading comprehension.

## 2 METHODOLOGY

The design of this research was a descriptive research. This research has only one variable. Tavakoli (2012, p. 160) said that descriptive research is an investigation that provides a picture of a phenomenon as it naturally occurs, as opposed to studying the impacts of the phenomenon or intervention. Descriptive research attempted to looks at individuals, groups, institutions, methods and materials in order to describe, compare, contrast, classify, analyze, and interpret the entities and the events that constitute their various fields of inquiry. There was also another theories follows regardless this research design in Short this type design only had one variable, it focus on situation phenomena (Gay, mills, Airasian, 2012, p.7) the data that the main purpose to describe, Explain, predict or control phenomena by using statistic or numerical as the actual result. Descriptive quantitative is a design used observation, interview, test, surveys to emphasize or visualization the characteristic or status at phenomena or situation (Eggen and Kauchak,2010). Descriptive quantitative was a compilation of knowledge real situation usually it start with the word analysis and only one variable (Muchtar 2010).

The subject of the research was the second grade of SMA Negeri 12 Pekanbaru, and the object of the research was students' difficulties in reading comprehension.
The instrument to measure reading comprehension included some aspects that became the indicators of reading comprehension itself. The total questions of test are 25 items. As explained previously, they are in form of multiple choice questions. This instrument is also developed to help researchers ensure that 34 some of the questions are matched to the objectives of this research.

The data of the test was analyzed by counting the percentage through proportion technique formula as follows:

$$
K=\frac{A}{N} \times 100 \%
$$

Note:
$\mathrm{K}=$ The percentage of active participation in each activity
$\mathrm{A}=$ The number of students who actively participates
$\mathrm{N}=$ The number of whole students
Table 1. Criteria of Interpretation Score

| Score | Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0 \%-20 \%$ | Poor |
| $21 \%-40 \%$ | Fair |
| $41 \%-60 \%$ | Average |
| $61 \%-80 \%$ | Good |
| $81 \%-100 \%$ | Excellent |

## 3 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## A. Result

## 1. The Students' Reading Comprehension

This data was taken from the students' reading comprehension. The data was obtained from students' reading test scores which consisted of 24 items. All items are presented in multiple choice test. The data of true answer transform the test score into 100 for score scale.

From the table I1 below, there were 88 students. The distribution of students" test score was obtained by using Microsoft Excel as follows:

Table 2. Recapitulation Score

| No | Students | True | Score | No | Students | True | Score | No | Students | True | Score | No | Students | True | Score |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Student 1 | 16 | 64 | 23 | Student 23 | 15 | 60 | 45 | Student 45 | 17 | 68 | 67 | Student 67 | 20 | 80 |
| 2 | Student 2 | 17 | 68 | 24 | Student 24 | 21 | 84 | 46 | Student 46 | 15 | 60 | 68 | Student 68 | 16 | 64 |
| 3 | Student 3 | 19 | 76 | 25 | Student 25 | 20 | 80 | 47 | Student 47 | 11 | 44 | 69 | Student 69 | 16 | 64 |
| 4 | Student 4 | 16 | 64 | 26 | Student 26 | 17 | 68 | 48 | Student 48 | 20 | 80 | 70 | Student 70 | 15 | 60 |
| 5 | Student 5 | 24 | 96 | 27 | Student 27 | 23 | 92 | 49 | Student 49 | 19 | 76 | 71 | Student 71 | 18 | 72 |
| 6 | Student 6 | 16 | 64 | 28 | Student 28 | 24 | 96 | 50 | Student 50 | 16 | 64 | 72 | Student 72 | 14 | 56 |
| 7 | Student 7 | 13 | 52 | 29 | Student 29 | 22 | 88 | 51 | Student 51 | 21 | 84 | 73 | Student 73 | 19 | 76 |
| 8 | Student 8 | 16 | 64 | 30 | Student 30 | 22 | 88 | 52 | Student 52 | 23 | 92 | 74 | Student 74 | 15 | 60 |
| 9 | Student 9 | 24 | 96 | 31 | Student 31 | 19 | 76 | 53 | Student 53 | 20 | 80 | 75 | Student 75 | 17 | 68 |


| 10 | Student 10 | 15 | 60 | 32 | Student 32 | 18 | 72 | 54 | Student 54 | 14 | 56 | 76 | Student 76 | 18 | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Student 11 | 15 | 60 | 33 | Student 33 | 22 | 88 | 55 | Student 55 | 18 | 72 | 77 | Student 77 | 20 | 80 |
| 12 | Student 12 | 21 | 84 | 34 | Student 34 | 20 | 80 | 56 | Student 56 | 17 | 68 | 78 | Student 78 | 16 | 64 |
| 13 | Student 13 | 20 | 80 | 35 | Student 35 | 20 | 80 | 57 | Student 57 | 16 | 64 | 79 | Student 79 | 15 | 60 |
| 14 | Student 14 | 19 | 76 | 36 | Student 36 | 21 | 84 | 58 | Student 58 | 11 | 44 | 80 | Student 80 | 14 | 56 |
| 15 | Student 15 | 20 | 80 | 37 | Student 37 | 20 | 80 | 59 | Student 59 | 24 | 96 | 81 | Student 81 | 25 | 100 |
| 16 | Student 16 | 14 | 56 | 38 | Student 38 | 20 | 80 | 60 | Student 60 | 18 | 72 | 82 | Student 82 | 24 | 96 |
| 17 | Student 17 | 16 | 64 | 39 | Student 39 | 22 | 88 | 61 | Student 61 | 20 | 80 | 83 | Student 83 | 24 | 96 |
| 18 | Student 18 | 24 | 96 | 40 | Student 40 | 19 | 76 | 62 | Student 62 | 14 | 56 | 84 | Student 84 | 20 | 80 |
| 19 | Student 19 | 19 | 76 | 41 | Student 41 | 13 | 52 | 63 | Student 63 | 15 | 60 | 85 | Student 85 | 18 | 72 |
| 20 | Student 20 | 24 | 96 | 42 | Student 42 | 21 | 84 | 64 | Student 64 | 19 | 76 | 86 | Student 86 | 20 | 80 |
| 21 | Student 21 | 16 | 64 | 43 | Student 43 | 21 | 84 | 65 | Student 65 | 19 | 76 | 87 | Student 87 | 19 | 76 |
| 22 | Student 22 | 15 | 60 | 44 | Student 44 | 17 | 68 | 66 | Student 66 | 18 | 72 | 88 | Student 88 | 22 | 88 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the table 2 above, the total of the students' score is 6512 and the mean score of the students" score is 74 . Therefore, there are the frequency tables of the students score below.

Table 3. The frequency table of the students' score

| Score | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 2 | $2,3 \%$ |
| 52 | 2 | $2,3 \%$ |
| 56 | 5 | $5,7 \%$ |
| 60 | 8 | $9,1 \%$ |
| 64 | 11 | $12,5 \%$ |
| 68 | 7 | $8,0 \%$ |
| 72 | 7 | $8,0 \%$ |
| 76 | 10 | $11,4 \%$ |
| 80 | 14 | $15,9 \%$ |
| 84 | 6 | $6,8 \%$ |
| 88 | 5 | $5,7 \%$ |
| 92 | 2 | $2,3 \%$ |
| 96 | 8 | $9,1 \%$ |
| 100 | 1 | $1,1 \%$ |
| Total | 88 | $100,0 \%$ |

Based on the table IV.2, the table showed that the students who got score 44 were 2 students with the percentage $2.3 \%$, the students who got score 52 were 2 students with the percentage $2.3 \%$, the students who got score 56 were 5 students with the percentage $5.7 \%$, the students who got score 60 were 8 students with the percentage $9.1 \%$, the students who got score 64 were 11 students with the percentage $12.5 \%$, the students who gotscore 68 were 7 students with the percentage $8 \%$, the students who got score 72 were 7 students with the percentage $8 \%$, the students who got score 76 were 10 students with the
percentage $11.4 \%$, the students who got score 80 were 14 students with the percentage $15.9 \%$, the students who got score 84 were 6 students with the percentage $6.8 \%$, the students who got score 88 were 5 students with the percentage $5.7 \%$, the students who got score 92 were 2 students with the percentage $2.3 \%$, the students who got score 96 were 8 students with the percentage $9.1 \%$ and the las the student who got score 100 was 1 students with the percentage $1.1 \%$.

Based on the table above, The researchers presented descriptive statistics, which is analyzed by using SPSS 21.0 program version. It can be seen as follows:

Table 4. The descriptive statistic data

| Descriptive Statistics | Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 74,00 |
| Median | 76,00 |
| Mode | 80 |
| Std. Deviation | 12,979 |
| Variance | 168,460 |
| Range | 56 |
| Minimum | 44 |
| Maximum | 100 |
| Sum | 6512 |

The table presented descriptive statistics of students" reading score.It can be seen that its mean score was 74 its median was 76 its mode was 80 , its standard deviation was 12.979 , and variance was 168.460 ., minimumscore was 44 and maximum score was 100 . So sum of the score was 6512 .

Furthermore, the data were calculated through percentage by using thecriteria are as follows (Riduwan 2002).

Table 5. Criteria of Interpretation Score

| Score | Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%-20 \%$ | Poor |
| $21 \%-40 \%$ | Fair |
| $41 \%-60 \%$ | Average |
| $61 \%-80 \%$ | Good |
| $81 \%-100 \%$ | Excellent |

Based on the mean score of reading comprehension, the level of students" reading comprehension score is Good Level.

## 2. Students' Most Difficult Components in Reading Comprehension Test.

The data of the students" reading comprehension score, the researcher analyzed the difficulties components based on reading comprehension test. There are in the table below.

Table 6. Analysis of indicators

| No | Indicator | Questions | Number <br> of items | Score | score | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The students ${ }^{\text {ec }}$ are able to <br> determine main idea. | $1,6,11,20,21$ | 5 | 319 | 63,8 | $19,59 \%$ |


| 2 | The students" are able to <br> Identify supporting <br> details. | $2,7,12,17,22$ | 5 | 314 | 62,8 | $19,29 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | The students" are able to <br> make the inference. | $4,10,14,16,23$ | 5 | 351 | 70,2 | $21,56 \%$ |
| 4 | The students se are able to <br> identify the reference. | $3,8,13,18,24$ | 5 | 322 | 64,4 | $19,78 \%$ |
| 5 | The students" are able to <br> understand <br> vocabulary the | $5,9,15,19,25$ | 5 | 322 | 64,4 | $19,78 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the table above, the researchers got that for the indicator 1 which was The students" are able to determine main idea was $63.8(19.59 \%$,) the indicator 2 which was the students" are able to identify supporting details was $62.8(19.29 \%$, ) the indicator 3 which was the students" are able to make the inference was $70.2(21.56 \%$, ) the indicator 4 which was the students ${ }^{\text {e }}$ are able to identify the reference was $64.4(19.78 \%$,) the indicator 5 which was the students" are able to understand the vocabulary was 64.4 $(19.78 \%)$. Therefore, the students' most difficult component is on the indicator 2 where the students" are able to identify supporting details was 62.8 ( $19.29 \%$ ).

## B. Discussion

Based on the findings above, the researcher got that the tenth grade students in SMA Negeri 12 Pekanbaru reading comprehension, the level of students" reading comprehension score is Good Level, And then the students difficult component is on the indicator 2 where the students" are able to identify supporting details was 62.8 ( 19.29\%).

Related to the research, Safitri (2023) states that As a result, the difficulties faced by the students are difficulty in answering main idea questions, locating reference questions, understanding vocabulary, making inferences questions, and finding detailed information. Therefore, the most difficult aspect faced by the students is reading for understanding vocabulary and finding detailed information. For the factors causing students' difficulty in reading comprehension there are five factors namely, learners' background, limited vocabulary knowledge, inadequate material presented by the teacher/teaching method, inadequate use of effective reading strategies and students' environment.

Furthermore, the researcher found the differences result between the researchers' research and previous studies. In the researchers' research, the indicator to find students ${ }^{\text {ec }}$ difficulties in reading comprehension used five aspects; making inference, determining main idea, interpreting vocabulary, identifying reference, and detailing information with the highest difficulty in making inference $63.7 \%$. While, in Eko Prayitno, Dewi 46 Sartika \& Rekha Asmara"s research, the indicator to find students" difficulties in reading comprehension used six aspects; finding main idea, grammatical features (vocabulary), reference, purpose of the text, detailedinformation, and generic structure with the highest difficulty in determining generic structure 81.95\% (Prayitno et al., 2021, p.30). Next, in Desi Sapitri, Fitri Novia, and Rachmanita"s research, the indicator to find students" difficulties in reading comprehension used six aspects; finding reference, moral value, deducing meaning,
specific information, detailed information, and main idea with the highest difficulty in finding detail information $67.05 \%$ (Sapitri et al., 2020, p.103-108). In addition, inHamza Al-Jarrah \& Nur Salina Binti Ismail"s research found the highest difficulty in reading comprehension of the students is lack of ability to recognize the type and structure of the text they read with the percentage of 73.7\% (Al-Jarrah \& Ismail, 2018, p.35-37).

## 4 CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this research, the researchers concludedthe level of students" reading comprehension score is Good Level. The students' most difficult component was on the indicator 2 where the students are able to identify supporting details was 62.8 (19.29\%).
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