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Abstract
This research aims to find out whether all the hadiths narrated in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari are valid and correct or there are also da’if and weak hadiths. In this article, the researcher has used the descriptive and content analysis method by referring to scientific articles, books of principles of hadith. Hadith annotation books and other books in which the subject has been discussed. In this article, the writer discusses the views and arguments of the scholars who claim that there are consensuses that the book of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari is the most correct book after the Qur’an and there is no da’if hadith in it. Similarly, the writer discusses the views of the scholars who argue that all the hadiths of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari are not authentic and there are hadiths in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari which are not authentic and are da’if. By considering the arguments of both sides, the writer concludes that Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari is the most correct book after the Holy Qur’an, nevertheless, this does not mean that there are no da’if hadiths in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari and there are many hadiths that are called da’if by the scholars of hadith. Also, the existence of da’if hadiths in the book of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari does not decrease the scientific ranking and status and level of acceptance of the book and prophetic hadith among the ummah and scholars of Hadith
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Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui apakah semua Hadits yang diriwayatkan dalam Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari itu valid dan benar atau ada juga Hadith da’if dan lemah. Dalam artikel ini, peneliti menggunakan metode deskriptif dan analisis isi dengan mengacu pada artikel ilmiah, kitab-
Introduction

Imam Bukhari’s complete name is Abu Abdullah Muhammad bin Isma’il bin Ibrahim bin Mughirah bin Bardazbeh al-Ju’fi; he was born in 194 hijrah. He is one of the great scholars of Hadith who combined the science of Hadith, Islamic Jurisprudence, and the worship of Allah. Imam Ahmad bin Hanbal says there is not a great scholar like Muhammad bin Isma’il Bukhari in Khurasan. He has written many books such as Al-Adab al-Mufrad, Al-Tarikh al-Kabir, Al-Tarikh al-Awsat, Al-Tarikh al-Saghir, Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari and many other books. Imam Bukhari passed away when he was 62 years old in 256 hijrah.

Bukhari’s collection is known as the most authentic collection of Sunnah of the prophet (SAW) however, it is important to realize that this is not a complete collection of all the hadiths of the prophet and there are authentic hadiths that are out of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari. He spent 16 years writing his book, he used to perform ablution and pray two raka’ah naful prayer. Also, he was very careful in narrating hadiths and imposed very hard conditions that all narrators and testifiers must comply with. For example, he thinks that a narrator must have a strong memory, and be honest, and just.²

Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari is believed to be one of the most authoritative books of Hadith, and even many Muslim scholars claim that it is the most authentic book after the Holy Qur’an. Imam al-Bukhari narrated 7397 Hadiths that are al-Marfu’ and al-Mawsul with repeated one and 2602 without repetitions, 1341 al-mu’alaq Hadiths with repeated one and 159 without repetition.³ Imam Bukhari says about his book Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari: I never add a Hadith in my book but I did a wudu’ before that and prayed two raka’ah, also, he says that I have not entered a Hadith in al-Jami’ al-Ṣaḥih except after I did Istikharah and prayed two raka’ah prayers and became certain of its validity.⁴

Imam al-’Uqaili said: When al-Bukhari wrote the book of al-Ṣaḥiḥ, he showed it to Ahmad bin Hanbal, Yahya bin Mu’in, Ali bin al-Madiny and others, and they approved it and testified

---


⁴Ibid., 39.
that all hadiths are correct except four Hadiths. However, al-‘Uqaili said about those four hadiths that al-Bukhari is right about them and they are also Şahiḥ.\(^5\)

Many scholars in the past and nowadays believe that all the Hadiths in Şahiḥ al-Bukhari are correct and reliable except for the Hadiths mentioned in the commentaries (Ta‘liqat) which contains both Şahiḥ and da‘if Hadiths. Therefore, Imam Bukhari named his book “Al-Jami’ al-Şahiḥ al-Musnad min Ahadith-e-rasul Allah wa Sunanī hi wa Ayamihi”.\(^6\) Al-Nawawi argues that the most accurate books after the Holy Qur’an are the two: Şahiḥ Bukhari and Şahiḥ Muslim, and the ummah accepted them as the most accurate books after the Holy Qur’an.\(^7\) Ibn Salah believes that the Hadiths narrated by Imam Bukhari and Muslim can be said to be authentic because the ummah has agreed on the authenticity of these two books, nevertheless, there is a small number of Hadiths that have been criticized by some critics such as Dar al-Qutny (385 h), Nasiruddin al-Albany and other scholars which are famous among the experts of Hadith.\(^8\)

One of the contemporary scholars Abdullah Bin Baz thinks that the two books (Bukhari and Muslim) are the greatest and the most correct after the Qur’an and the scholars of Hadith accepted them, except for few words that may be narrated mistakenly by some narrators but the main text or al-matn is correct and there is not any da‘if Hadith\(^9\) in al-Bukhari.\(^10\)

Imam Bukhari narrates Hadiths which its narrators are trustworthy without any dispute, and its Sanad is mutasil and not maqtu’. According to Imam Bukhari’s conditions for mentioning hadiths in his book al-Şahiḥ al-Bukhari, it would be best if a hadith is narrated by two of the companions of the Prophet, but if one of the companions also narrated it correctly, the hadith would be acceptable.\(^11\) There are narrators that both Bukhari and Muslim narrated from, and there are some narrators just al-Bukhari narrated from, and they are around four hundred thirty people, and eighty of them are the narrators who are al-mutakalim fihym.\(^12\)

Most of the Hadiths of Şahiḥ al-Bukhari are certainly Şahiḥ, however, there are hadiths that some scholars differ in accepting as Şahiḥ Hadiths. From the point of view of the scholars who believe in the existence of da‘if hadith in Şahiḥ al-Bukhari, all the hadiths of the Şahiḥ al-Bukhari, that’s text or chain of the transmission have problems can be checked for authenticity. They argue that believing in the existence of da‘if Hadith in Şahiḥ al-Bukhari is not a new phenomenon, but in the past, some scholars of hadith believed that there are da‘if Hadiths in al-Bukhari. For example, al-Imam Dar al-Qutny considers several Hadiths in Şahiḥ al-Bukhari’s book to be da‘if, but other scholars strongly oppose his argument and consider his objections to be not true and reliable.\(^13\) For example, al-Imam Ibn Hajar says about the Hadiths that Imam Dar al-Qutny considered da‘if: that I have written them, verified them, and divided and separated them, but nothing appears of them affects the origin of the book’s topic, except in the rare.\(^14\)

---


\(^6\)Ibid., 11.


\(^9\)*Da‘if Hadith*: is a hadith which does not meet the conditions and the criteria of a al-sahih or al-hasan haditha (Abu Abdullah Badruddin Muhammad bin Ibrahim bin Sa’idi bin Jama‘ah al-Kanany al-Sha‘iy al-Hamawy, *Al-Minhal al-Rawi fa Mukhtasar Ilm al-Hadith al-Nabawi* (Dimashq: Dar al-Fikr 1406 H), 38.

\(^10\)Al-Imam Ibn Baz, “al-ad‘ea’ ban shhyalbkharywnmsmbh maahadthyd’eyfh” available from internet; accessed on 19 October 2020.

---

\(^11\)Ibid., 13.

\(^12\)Ibid., 18. *Mutakalim Fihy* is a narrator in whom some critics of Hadith science have spoken with a jarh that may or may not be effective (Abdul Aziz Mohammed Farah, *Inayh al-‘Ilmyah* bil-Isnad wa *Ilm al-Jarh wa-Ta‘ dil* (Riyad: Majm‘e al-Malik Fahd, 1431H), 7.


\(^14\)Ibid., 2/266.
da’if Hadiths in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari means that the number of these Hadiths is very small and they are mostly in Bukhari’s commentaries not in the main parts of the book.

Some contemporary scholars have criticized the book of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari and the Hadiths of the Prophet (SAW) in general. In their criticism, they have gone beyond the predecessor’s method and have chosen a specific method and their arguments are based on not believing in the righteousness of all the companions of the prophet. They believe that the Companions, like other narrators, are different in terms of trust, justice, and memory, while the Salaf scholars believed that all the companions are righteous and just. For example, they have made many criticisms of the great companions such as Abu Hurairah, who narrated a big number of Hadiths. As Mahmoud Abu Raiyyah has written a book and named it “Abu Hurairah Sheikh al-Mudhirah” and Mustafa Bohandi has written a book entitled “Akthar Abu Hurairah” in which they have strongly criticized the narrations of Abu Hurairah.15

Likewise, some other critics of Hadiths from the orientalists think that hadiths are fabricated because of the political pressures from the people who were in power. Using this method, Hadiths are criticized concerning the political and intellectual conditions of the time of the narration of the Hadiths, rather than as a criterion for memory and whether they are trustworthy or not. With using this method many Hadiths of al-Bukhari which are related to the political incidents are under criticism.16 For example, some new critics of the prophetic hadiths believe that the hadiths have been magnified, meaning that they have been accepted and collected due to political and intellectual reasons and pressures without properly investigating the truth and correctness of hadiths from the point of view of matn and sanad, and therefore a considerable number of them are da’if.

Similarly, some critics of hadiths are also of the opinion that whenever a hadith is contrary to the Qur’an or explicit intellect, such a hadith is not acceptable and is rejected, and because of that, they reject many hadiths of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari.17

The great Islamic scholar Nasiruddin al-Albany says: The number of weak and fabricated Hadiths has reached 5 thousand in al-Sihah books, including Bukhari and Muslim. He claims that the reason for this is that the early Hadith writers relied primarily on the narrator’s reputation and the extent of his sincerity and people’s testimony of his trustworthiness and character. This is a measure despite its importance, as it is not sufficient and hardly reliable, especially after two or more centuries after the death of the prophet.18

The Hadiths that have been criticized in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari are divided into six categories by Imam ibn Hajar (852h): (1) Hadiths that’s narrators disagree on the increase and decrease of some narrators in the chain of the narration, (2) Hadiths that’s narrators are disagreed on changing some narrators in the chain of the narration, (3) Hadiths in which some words are added by a small number of narrators, contrary to the narrations of narrators who are in a large number and have a stronger memory, (4) Hadith in which weak narrators alone mentions a thing, opposed to strong narrators, (5) Hadith whose narrators have been judged by having an illusion, and (6) Hadiths that’s narrators have differences in narrating the text so that some mention one word and others mention another word.19

The Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari book was criticized by many scholars. For example, Dar al-Qutny wrote a book named Al-Ilzamat wa al-Tatabu’, this book is researched by Muqbil al-Wada’y which was published by Habiburrahman Rizapoor and Mohammad Anwar Zafari: A Critical Analysis of the Existence of Da’if Hadith (Weak Hadith) in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari.

---

was published by *Dar al-Kutub al ‘Ilmya* in Beirut. Imam Dar al-Qutny criticized around 200 Hadiths of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhari, and Imam ibn Hajar wrote a rejection of Dar al-Qutny’s arguments of weakening of these hadiths, for example, He said that there are some Hadiths that Dar al-Qutny is right in weakening them and he is not right in weakening most of them. Likewise, some other books discussed the existence of ḍa’īf hadith in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhari such as Hady al-Sary written by Ibn Hajar, and al-Zu’afâ written by al-’Uqaili. 20

Most of the orientalists believe that the majority of the prophetic hadiths are not authentic and are fabricated; the process of the fabrication of hadiths started after the death of the prophet and the first people who fabricated hadiths are the companions of the prophet. 21 For example, Goldziher claims that:

“The Prophet’s pious followers have reverently repeated the enlightening sayings of the master and have endeavored to preserve for the edification and instruction of the community everything that he said, both in public and in private... When the rapid succession of conquests led them to distant countries; they handed on these hadiths of the Prophet to those who had not heard them with their ears and after his death, they added many salutary sayings that were thought to be in accord with his sentiments and could, therefore, in their view, legitimately be ascribed to him, or of whose soundness they were in general convinced.” 22

He mentions the name of Mu’awiyah bin Abu Sufyan and al-Mughirah bin Shu’bah. He tries to prove his allegation by mentioning the sayings of Mu’awiyah bin Abu Sufyan in the summon of Mughirah bin Shu’bah, but none of Mu’awiyah’s sayings indicate officially or unofficially to prove the fabrication of hadith by him or Mughirah. Also, the narration which is mentioned in al-Tibary and quoted by Goldziher about the summon and the saying of Mu’awiyah is narrated by Abu Mikhnaf and according to Zahabi he is not trustworthy and labeled by Scholars of hadith as “a spoiled historian.” 23

Goldziher also criticizes the authenticity of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhari and thinks that Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhari is not the most authentic book because his method for narrating hadith is weak as he was focusing more on *sanad* not much on *matn*. He criticized hadith from different aspects such as politics, science, socio-cultural, etc. he believes that Hadiths of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhari are correct from the point of view of their *sanad* and are not checked by other methods. He also thinks that Abdul Malik bin Marwan ordered al-Zuhri who was 10-18 years old to fabricate hadiths. The reason behind his saying is to raise doubt among the Muslims about the authenticity of prophetic hadiths. 24 However, Muhammad Mustafa Azami rejects all the saying of Goldziher and says that Hadith scholars especially Imam Bukhari considered the criticism of Hadith from the point of view of both *sanad* and *matn*. 25

Another scholar, named Jamal al-Banna, wrote a book in 2008 by the name of “*Tajrid al-Bukhari Wa Muslim Min al-Ahadith Alati La Talzam*” which means the Dismantling of Bukhari and Muslim from the Hadiths that are not needed, which was published by the Da’wat al-Ihya al-Islamy. The author of this book claims that there are Hadiths in Bukhari and Muslim that are not authentic, each of which has different reasons, for example, some of them are in conflict with Qur’anic verses, and others have problems in their

---

25Ibid.
text, and some are Hadiths which are against the (I’esmah al-naby) or infallibility of the Prophet.

The author also thinks that the Ahl al-sunnah wa al-Jama’ah does not have consensus on the authenticity of all the hadiths of Bukhari, and he has mentioned many examples as evidence of his argument.

This research answers the question whether all the Hadiths of Šahiḫ al-Bukhari are authentic and credible or there are da’if and weak hadiths in it? By mentioning the arguments of the hadith scholars on da’if Hadiths in the Bukhari book, with mentioning their logic for calling them da’if.

The researcher uses the content analysis and descriptive method for conducting this research, by referring to books, scientific journal articles and articles on some credible websites. By conducting this research, the researchers aim to collect, analyze the theories of both opponents and proponents of the existence of weak hadiths in Šahiḫ al-Bukhari, and reach a logical conclusion following the principles of hadith science and Islamic law. What distinguishes this research is that this is not based on religious and sectarian prejudice, but is based on the arguments and researches of Salaf and contemporary scholars and existing facts. Attempts have also been made to validate logical and rational arguments and to criticize and reject arguments that are based on prejudice and extremist opinions. In this research, scientific terms that are not understandable to the public have been described in the footnote using credible sources, although each term has been described only once.

**Bukhari and His Standards for Narrating Hadith in his Book Šahiḫ al-Bukhari**

One of the things that made Bukhari the most authentic book after the Holy Qur’an is the way by which Imam Bukhari narrates Hadiths, his conditions, and his strong standards for getting hadiths from the narrators. Imam Bukhari does not narrate hadith from every scholar of hadith, but he has conditions for narrating Hadiths and whenever he finds those conditions in a narrator, he will narrate otherwise he would not. For example, the narrator must be trustworthy, the Hadith’s Sanad26 (chain of narrators) must be Mutasif27 (connected), the narrator must have met the person he is narrating from, even if the meeting took place once, the narrator must be Muslim, honest, not easygoing, not Mukhtalit, have a good intention in narrating hadith and many other conditions.28 Therefore, if a narrator does not match the above-mentioned conditions the scholar of hadith will check the hadith for authenticity.

The book of al-Bukhari is one of the most correct books of Hadith, and despite that, it is a human effort that is neither perfect nor infallible, and from here we find some of the scholars of Hadiths who have spoken about some Hadiths which are narrated by Bukhari, and they explained reasons for their argument which show that these Hadiths are lower than the rank of Šahiḫ Hadith.29 For instance, Imam Ibn Hajar says: there are one hundred and ten Hadiths in Šahiḫ al-Bukhari and Muslim among them 80 Hadiths just narrated by al-Bukhari, which are criticized by some scholars of Hadith such as Dar al-Qutny and Abu Ali al-Asany.30

**Examples of Hadiths Which Considered Da’if by the scholar of Hadiths**

Many scholars of hadith talked about the existence of da’if Hadiths in Šahiḫ al-Bukhari and here I will mention some of them:

---


27Mutasif: is a hadith that’s chain of narrators is connected to the Prophet. Or it is a hadith that’s chain of narrators is connected so that each narrator has heard from the narrator above himself (Tadrib al-Rawy, Syuty)


A. Hadiths of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari which Dar al-Qutny (306-385h) Criticized and called Daʿīf

Hadiths of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari, about which Imam Dar al-Qutny has consideration and concerns and talked about them in his book “Al-Ilzamat wa al-Tatabu’” and explained reasons for his concerns. These kinds of hadiths are divided into five categories: (1) Hadiths are cited by both Imam Bukhari and Muslims and they based their arguments on them, (2) Hadiths that both Bukhari and Muslim cited them in the *al-mutab’at* section, (3) Hadiths that each of Bukhari and Muslim have narrated in their books cautiously, (4) Hadiths that each of Bukhari and Muslim have narrated as an *al-mutab’at* and have stated causes for them, and (5) Hadiths that Imam Muslim mentioned in the introduction of his book.32

From reading the book of Imam Dar al-Qutny, can be understood that the Hadiths of Bukhari and Muslim, which he has criticized, are not out of three circumstances: the first type is the Hadiths that both Bukhari and Muslim have mentioned in their books with the reason contained in those Hadiths in a way that is understandable to those who specialize in this field. The second type is the Hadiths that were criticized by Dar al-Qutny, but his criticism is not justified and the opinion of Bukhari and Muslim is preferred. The third type is the Hadiths that were criticized by Imam Dar al-Qutny and his criticism is appropriate. For example, the Hadiths criticized in Bukhari and Muslim are 210 Hadiths, and among these, Dar al-Qutny criticism is correct only in 100 Hadiths.33

B. Hadiths of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari which Imam al-Albany (1914-1999) Weakened or Thought Them Daʿīf

Imam al-Albany is one of the great scholars of Hadith in the present era who has left many scientific works in the form of books, articles, and lectures on the Hadiths of the Prophet (SAW) and its sciences. Imam al-Albany is among those who believe in the existence of weak Hadiths in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari. He has weakened some of Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari’s Hadiths for various reasons, including:

1). Existence of Some Mutakalal Fihym Narrators in the Chain of the Narration:

When the scholars of hadith use the term *almutakalal fihym* they mean the narrators who are criticized by some scholars of hadith and they have some specific attitudes that being of them is not fair in narration and these attitudes may or may not be effective in making the narrator *da’īf*.34 There are narrators in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari about whom some scholars of Hadith have said things that undermine their strength or makes them *da’īf*, even though it is acceptable by the scholars of Hadiths that all the narrations of a *da’īf* narrator are not *da’īf*, still, it is a weakness of narration which has *almutakalal fihym* narrators. One of the examples of the Hadith which Imam al-Albany has called it *da’īf* due to a narrator’s being *almutakalal fihym* is this Hadith:

> حدثني عبد الله بن منير، سمع أبا النضر، حدثنا عبد الرحمن بن دينار، عن أبيه، عن أبي صالح، عن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم قال: "إن العبد ليتكلم بالكلمة من رضوان الله، لا يلقي لها بالا، يرفعه الله بها درجات، وإن العبد ليتكلم بالكلمة من سخط الله، لا يلقي لها بالا، يهوي بها في جهنم.

"The Prophet; said, “A slave (of Allah) may utter a word which pleases Allah without

---

35Al-Bukhari, Vol. 8, 101; hadith number 6478, chapter of Hifz al-lisan.
giving it much importance, and because of that Allah will raise him to degrees of the reward: a slave (of Allah) may utter a word (carelessly) which displeases Allah without thinking of its gravity and because of that he will be thrown into the Hell-Fire.”

Imam Bukhari narrated this Hadith from Abdulrahman bin Abdullah bin Dinar from his father from Abu Salih from Abu Hurairah. Imam al-Albany calls this Hadith da’if because of two reasons: the first is the poor preservation or Suw’ hifz of Abdulrahman. Even though Imam Bukhari narrated many Hadiths from Abdulrahman, other scholars of Hadith opposed him and spoke of Abdulrahman’s poor memory. For example, Yahya Bin Mu’in said: there is du’uf or weakness in his Hadith.37 Similarly, Amru bin Ali said: I never heard Abdulrahman bin Mahdy narrates anything from him.38 Abu Hatem said: he has Liyn (softness) and his Hadith can be written and will not be invoked.39 Also, Dar al-Qutny said: al-Bukhari disagreed with the people in narrating from Abdulrahman, and he is not matruk.40 The second reason for being of this Hadith da’if or weak is the disagreement of Imam Malik with Imam Bukhari in narrating it, as Imam Bukhari has narrated this Hadith as marfu’.41 but Imam Malik has narrated this Hadith as mawquf.42 Similarly, in the book of al-Muwata this Hadith is narrated by Abdullah bin Dinar from Abu Salih, who said that Abu Hurairah said: ... and then he mentions the Hadith. It is clear from the above chain of transmission that Abdulrahman did not memorize the Hadith, and therefore, he added to it to and mad the mawquf Hadith as al-marfu’.43

“In our garden, a horse was belonging to the Prophet (saw) called al-Luhairi or al-Lakhif.”

Al-Baihaqy narrated it from Ubay bin 'Ebas from his brother Musadiq Bin ‘Ebas from his father and he narrated it as a marfu’ hadith. Al-Albany said: this Hadith is da’if because I don’t recognize Musadiq Bin ‘Ebas and also his brother Ubay Ibn ‘Ebas is a da’if narrator. Many scholars of Hadith including Bukhari weakened him, for example, he said: laysa bil qwiy45 he is not strong, Sajy said: he is da’if. Abu al-Arab mentioned him in al-Du’afa’, al-Nasaïy said: laysa bil qwawiy, and Imam Ahmad bin Hanbal said: he is Munkar al-hadith.46 Imam Ibn Hajar

---

36 Say’ al-hifz: is a person who cannot be preferred the aspect of his correctness from his mistakes, and it has two kinds: first, If it happens for the narrator in all his life’s affairs according to the opinion of some scholars of hadith, he is al-shad, and if the bad memorization come to the narrator as an emergency; Either because of his old age, or because of his blindness, or because his books were burned or not exist, and he relied on them, and then when he referred to his memory everything mixed, this kind of narrator called al-mukhtalat.

37 Abdulrahman Aby Hatim, D'ufa’, Vol. 4 (Beirut: Dar al-Fikr), 294.

38 Abdulrahman bin Abdullah bin Dinar from his father from Abu Salih from Abu Hurairah.

39 A Critical Analysis of the Existence of Da’if Hadith (Weak Hadith) in Sahih al-Bukhari

40 The second reason for being of this Hadith da’if or weak is the disagreement of Imam Malik with Imam Bukhari in narrating it, as Imam Bukhari has narrated this Hadith as marfu’, but Imam Malik has narrated this Hadith as mawquf. Similarly, in the book of al-Muwata this Hadith is narrated by Abdullah bin Dinar from Abu Salih, who said that Abu Hurairah said: ... and then he mentions the Hadith. It is clear from the above chain of transmission that Abdulrahman did not memorize the Hadith, and therefore, he added to it to and mad the mawquf Hadith as al-marfu’.43

41 Say’ al-hifz: is a person who cannot be preferred the aspect of his correctness from his mistakes, and it has two kinds: first, If it happens for the narrator in all his life’s affairs according to the opinion of some scholars of hadith, he is al-shad, and if the bad memorization come to the narrator as an emergency; Either because of his old age, or because of his blindness, or because his books were burned or not exist, and he relied on them, and then when he referred to his memory everything mixed, this kind of narrator called al-mukhtalat.

42 Mawquf: is a hadith which is narrated from the Companions of the prophet, from their sayings or their deeds, so it stops on them, and does not refer to the prophet; Abu ‘Amr ‘Uthman Bin Abdulrahman Bukhari has narrated this Hadith as marfu’.43

43 Al-Bukhari, V o1. 4, 29; hadith number 2855.

44 al-Baihaqy, Al-Silsilah al-da’ifah, Vol. 6 (Beirut: Dar al-Fikr), 463; Hadith Number 1299.


concluded from the above sayings of the scholars of Hadith and said: *fiḥy da‘if* (there is a weakness in him). \(^47\) Surprisingly, even though the majority of scholars of hadith have weakened or called him *da‘if*, Imam Bukhari still narrated a Hadith from him.

In this hadith, there is a narrator who is also a *Mutakalam Fihiy* narrator by the name of Khilas bin ‘Amru al-Hajry. Imam Ibn Hajar says that Ibn Mu‘in and Abu Daud and al-'Ajaly said that he is trustworthy and confidant (*al-thuqah*), al-hakim said that he is not strong (*al-gaww*). \(^51\)

In this hadith, the narrator Ibrahim Bin al-Mundir al-Hazimy is a *Mutakalam Fihiy* narrator. Imam Ibn Hajar has said about him that he is one of the Imams, Ibn Mu‘in knows him as trustworthy and *thuqah*, Ahmad bn Hanbal talked negatively about him (*takalam fih*) because Abi Daud and Sajy said that: There are munkar Hadiths with him. Despite the issues discussed above Imam Bukhari has narrated from him in several places, for example, one of his narrations is in the book of *al-Raqqaq*, the chapter of *al-Hāwd* (pool). \(^49\)

In this hadith, Allah’s Messenger (saw) said, “Between my house and my pulpit there is a garden from amongst the gardens of Paradise, and my pulpit is over my Lake-Fount.”

“*Allah’s Messenger (saw) said*, “If somebody eats something forgetfully while he is fasting, then he should complete his fast, for *Allah* has made him eat and drink.”


\(^{48}\) al-Bukhari, Vol. 8, 121; hadith number 6588.

\(^{49}\) Hady al-Sary, 2307.

\(^{50}\) al-Bukhari, Vol. 8, 105; hadith number 6502.
narrated by Ibn Karamah alone from Khalid Ibn Mukhalad al-Qatwany, and he is one of the narrators that the scholars are worried about, and have said many things about him. It is also narrated in other ways, none of which are out of the question. Ibn Hajar al-'Asqalany said about Khalid bin Mukhalad: he has munkar Hadith and also said about this Hadith:

\[\text{hada hadith gharib jidaan,}\]

the reason that makes this hadith garyb is the tafarud. Al-'Ajaly said that he is al-thuqah but has some Shiite believes, Ibn Sa'id said he was very extreme Shiite, similarly Salih said he was accused of being extreme Shi'ite, Ahmad bin Hanbal said he has some munkar Hadiths and Abu Daud said his Hadiths can be written but not invoked (la-yuhtaj bihy).

On the other hand, some scholars of Hadith have justified these criticisms about Khalid ibn Mukhalad and have given such an answer: As long as a person has no difficulty in receiving a Hadith and conveying it to others and does not invite others to Shi'ism, being of him Shiah does not harm the narration of a Hadith. As for having Munkar hadith, it should be said that Imam Bukhari narrated only this Hadith from him, and this is not from his Manakirs and is an authentic hadith.

There are several examples of this kind of hadith in Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari, and here are some of them:

1. حَدَّثَنَا عَمْرُو بْنُ عَلِيٍّ، حَدَّثَنَا فُضَيْلُ بْنُ سُـلَيْمَانَ، حَدَّثَنَا مُسْلِمُ بْنُ أَبِي مَرْيَمَ، حَدَّثَنِي عَبْدُ الرَّحْمَنِ بْنُ جَابِرٍ، عَمَّنْ، سَمِعَ النَّبِيَّ صلى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ، قَالَ: لاَ عُقُوبَةَ فَوْقَ عَشْرِ ضَرَبَاتٍ إِلاَّ فِي حَدٍّ مِنْ حُدُودِ اللهَِّ

2. أَبِي، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنَا إِبْرَاهِيمُ بْنُ المَنْذِرِ الحَزَامِي، حَدَّثَنَا مُحَمَّدُ بْنُ فَلِيْحٍ، حَدَّثَنَا أَبِي، قَالَ: حَدَّثَنِي هَلْلُ بْنُ أَبِي، عَنِ عَطَّاَ بْنِ يَسَارٍ، عَنِ أَبِي هَرْيِرَةَ، عَنِ النَّبِيِّ صلى اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَّمَ قَالَ: "بِيَنَا أَنَا قَامٌ إِذَا زَمَرَةُ، حَتَّى إِذَا عَرَفَتُهُمُ خَرَجَ رَجُلٌ مِنْ بَيْنِي وَبَيْنِهِمْ، فَقَالَ: هَلْ، قَلَّتُ؟ أَيْنَ؟ قَالَ: إِلَى النَّارِ وَاللهُ، قَلَّتْ: وَمَا شَأْنُهُ؟ قَالَ: إِنَّمَا أَرْتُ دُونَكُمْ عَلَى أَدْبَارِهِمُ الْقَهْقَرِيَّ، ثُمَّ إِذَا زَمَرَةُ، حَتَّى إِذَا عَرَفَتُهُمُ خَرَجَ رَجُلٌ مِنْ بَيْنِي وَبَيْنِهِمْ، فَقَالَ: هَلْ، قَلَّتُ أَيْنَ؟ قَالَ: إِلَى النَّارِ وَاللهُ، قَلَّتْ: وَمَا شَأْنُهُ؟ قَالَ: إِنَّمَا أَرْتُ دُونَكُمْ عَلَى أَدْبَارِهِمُ الْقَهْقَرِيَّ، فَلَا أَرَأَهُمْ يَخْلُصُونَ مِنْهُ أَلْلَهَ إِلَّا مَثَلَ هَمَلِ النِّعْمَةِ

3. امْرَأَتُهُ أَتَمَّةَ، قَالَتْ: رَأِيْتُ ابْنَاهَا لَكَ، فَقَالَ: فَلَا أَرَأَيْتُهُمْ أَيْنَ؟ قَالَتْ: تَصَلُّبُوا، فَكَسَتْ أَيْنَ وَأَيْنَ، فَقَالَ: تَصَلُّبُوا، فَكَسَتْ أَيْنَ وَأَيْنَ، فَقَالَ: تَصَلُّبُوا، فَكَسَتْ أَيْنَ وَأَيْنَ، فَفَرَّتْ أَيْنَ وَأَيْنَ، فَقَالَ: تَصَلُّبُوا، فَكَسَتْ أَيْنَ وَأَيْنَ، فَفَرَّتْ أَيْنَ وَأَيْنَ، فَقَالَ: تَصَلُّبُوا، فَكَسَتْ أَيْنَ وَأَيْنَ، فَفَرَّتْ أَيْнَ وَأَيْنَ.

Imam al-Albany said: this Hadith is munkar with the word of “al-‘aqwbah” the reason for this, is a narrator called Fudail bin Sulaiman whom Imam Ibn Hajar has said about: “Saduq Lahu Khtair” he is honest but has a lot of mistakes and this Hadith is from his mistakes. This Hadith is also narrated by al-Musab Bin Wadah who is one of the teachers of Abu Hatim and he said about him: “saduq, kan yukht’s kathir” he is honest, however, he made a lot of mistakes, and Dar al-Qutny said: he is da’if.

2) Existence of Abnormalities (Shudwd)

Strangeness (Gharabah), or Oddity (Nakarah) in one of the Textual Words of a Hadith:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hady al-Sary</td>
<td>2/306.</td>
<td>“Saduq Lahu Khtair” he is honest but has a lot of mistakes, and Dar al-Qutny said: he is da’if.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Prophet (saw) said, “While I was sleeping, a group (of my followers were brought close to me), and when I recognized them, a man (an
angel) came out from amongst (us) me and them, he said (to them), ‘Come along,’ I asked, ‘Where?’ He said, ‘To the (Hell) Fire, by Allah!’ I asked, ‘what is wrong with them?’ He said, ‘They turned apostate as renegades after you left.’ Then behold! (Another) group (of my followers) were brought close to me, and when I recognized them, a man (an angel) came out from (me and them) he said (to them): Come along.’ I asked, ‘Where?’ He said, ‘To the (Hell) Fire, by Allah.’ I asked, what is wrong with them?’ He said, ‘They turned apostate as renegades after you left. So, I did not see anyone of them escaping except a few who were like camels without a shepherd.’

Imam al-Albany said: that this Hadith is da’if for two reasons: (a) Because of its sanad, as there is a narrator called Fulaih Bin Sulaiman, Ibn Hajar said about him: “Saiduq Kathir al-Khata” his honest but has a lot of mistakes. Similarly, Imam al-Albany said: Sanad of this Hadith is Gharib and Imam Bukhari narrated it alone, and it is not narrated by the al-Sihah books.61 Likewise, Ibn Mu’in and Abu Hatim, and al-Nasa’iy said: “Lays Bil-al-Qawy” he is not strong,62 and (b) Because of the problems that exist in the matn or text of it, which contradicts with more than thirty Hadiths narrated by others. One of the contradictions that exist in this Hadith is adding the phrase “Bayn Ana Na’im” when I was sleeping, the addition of this phrase makes this story a dream, while other narration of this Hadith does not include this term.63 The second addition in this Hadith is the phrase “kharaj rajul min bainy wa bainhim” a man came out between me and them. This also does not exist in other narrations.64 The third addition is that this Hadith has divided those who have turned back from the teaching of the prophet into two parts, which do not exist in other narrations.65 Likewise, this narration called the angel (rajul) or man, while being a man or woman is one of the characteristics of humans and angels are not described as being a man or a woman.66

**Imam al-Albany said: this is a weak (da’if) narration that al-Bukhari narrated without the rest of the other six books of hadith. This is a da’if**

---

61Gharib: is a hadith which is narrated by one person and it can happen in any place, at any chain of narrators or at any text; Ibn Hajar al-‘Asqalany, Nuzhat al-Nazar fi Tawziyih Nukhbat al-Fekar fi Mustalahy Ahly al-Athar (Dimashq: Matbagah al-Sabah, 2000), 50.
63Ibid., 1033.
64Ibid., 1036.
Imam al-Albany has weakened several Hadiths of Bukhari because there is *I*tirab at their sanad or their matn. One of the examples of this, is as below:

Hadith: 

حدثني بشر بن مرحوم، حدثنا يحيى بن سليم، عن إسماعيل بن أمية، عن سعيد بن أبي سعيد، عن أبي هريرة رضي الله عنه، قال: ثلثة " : عن النبي صلى الله عليه وسلم، قال: " أنا خصمهن يوم القيامة: (1) One who makes a covenant in My Name, but he proves treacherous, (2) One who sells a free person (as a slave) and eats the price, (3) And one who employs a laborer and gets the full work done by him but does not pay him his wages."

Imam al-Albany said: I was inclined towards the weakness of this Hadith in the book Irwa’ al-ghalil and there I mentioned some opinions about it, and then when I realized the existence of *I*tirab of Yahya in narrating of this Hadith from the point of view of the sanad and matn, I became certain in the weakness of this Hadith. *I*tirab from the point of view of the sanad in this Hadith is such that the owners of the six books of Hadith narrate it from Said bin Abu Said al-Maqbari from Abu Hurairah, it is also narrated by Ibn Jarud and Baihaqi. Imam al-Albany said: that I was not sure about ruling on this Hadith because the scholars of hadith called al-Taifi da’if and Nafili trustworthy. As Zahaby quotes from Abu Daud that he says about Nafili who is Abdullah bin Muhammad: I did not see anyone with a better memory than him, Ibn Hajar said: he is *thiqah* hafiz (trustworthy with great memory).

The Prophet (saw) said, “Allah says, ‘I will be against three persons on the Day of Resurrection: (1) One who makes a covenant in My Name, but he proves treacherous, (2) One who sells a free person (as a slave) and eats the price, (3) And one who employs a laborer and gets the full work done by him but does not pay him his wages.”

Imam al-Albany said: I was inclined towards the weakness of this Hadith in the book Irwa’ al-ghalil and there I mentioned some opinions about it, and then when I realized the existence of *I*tirab of Yahya in narrating of this Hadith from the point of view of the sanad and matn, I became certain in the weakness of this Hadith. *I*tirab from the point of view of the sanad in this Hadith is such that the owners of the six books of Hadith narrate it from Said bin Abu Said al-Maqbari from Abu Hurairah, it is also narrated by Ibn Jarud and Baihaqi. Imam al-Albany said: that I was not sure about ruling on this Hadith because the scholars of hadith called al-Taifi da’if and Nafili trustworthy. As Zahaby quotes from Abu Daud that he says about Nafili who is Abdullah bin Muhammad: I did not see anyone with a better memory than him, Ibn Hajar said: he is *thiqah* hafiz (trustworthy with great memory).
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Also, he said that: it is very clear that if the matter revolves between the delusion of a narrator who is thauqah controversially and the delusion of a narrator who is thauqah and hafiz which has been agreed upon; the delusion of the first will be clear and acceptable, especially if it is more likely that the narrator is da’if from the point of view of his memory. Hence al-Hafiz ibn Hajar said: he is Saduq with poor memory. He continues: how is it acceptable to delude a person with a great memory and his teacher has poor memory? It is not correct, rather, it is correct to say: sometimes the teacher mentioned it in the chain of transmission and on this time Abu Jaafar al-Nafili preserved it, and on other occasions, he did not mention it, so al-Nafaili did not memorize it.75

As for the text, there is idtirab or confusion in two words: The first: he once said: "lam yu ‘etih y" He did not give him, which is the narration of al-Bukhari in two places of his book, and in the second narration it has been said: "wa lam yuwa fihiy" he did not pay him.76 Another idtirab that exists in this Hadith is the sentence "wa man kunt khasmuh, khasamtuh" and this is in the narration of Ibn Haban, Ibn Jarud, Ibn Majah, Baihaqy, Abu Ya’la, and al-Tabrany, they narrated this Hadith via a narrator called Alta’efy.77 Similarly, Imam al-Albany said: that there is another Idtirab in this Hadith, and that is the existence of two opinions on being and not being of it a Qudsi hadith. According to al-Jama’ah, this Hadith is a Qudsi hadith. However, Ibn Haban, Ibn Majah, Abu Ya’la, and Tabrany do not agree and said: this is not a Qudsi hadith.78 Likewise, Imam al-Albany argues that dozens of examples indicate the ignorance of those who blindly prejudice and say that all the Hadiths in Bukhari and Muslim are authentic and Šaḥih. One of the examples he mentioned is a Hadith that prophet Muhammad says heaven and hell spoke to their Lord, paradise said: My Lord, why do not enter me except the weak and fallen, and the fire said: I am filled with the arrogant, so Allah almighty said to paradise: أنت رحمتي، وقال للنار: أنت عذابي, أصيب بك من أشقاء، ولكل واحدة منها ملءها, قال: فأما الجنة, فإن الله لا يظلم من خلقه أحدًا, وإن يملؤي للنار من يشاء، فيقولون فيها, فقول: هل من مزيد, ثلاثاً, حتى يضع فيها قدمه قمتمني, وبرد بعضها إلى بعض, وتقول: فقل ألا!79

“You are My Mercy,’ and said to Hell, ‘You are My Punishment which I inflict upon whom I wish, and I shall fill both of you.’” The Prophet added, “As for Paradise, (it will be filled with good people) because Allah does not wrong any of his created things, and he creates for hell (fire) whomever he will, and they will be thrown into it, and it will say thrice, ‘Is there any more, till Allah (will put) His Foot over it and it will become full and its sides will come close to each other and it will say, ‘Qat! Qat! Qat!’”

In this Hadith “wa inahu yunshi linar” sentence is a Shad narration and this is in fact "wa yunsh’e lialjnah," some of the scholars said: this is an al-maqlub, while some others such as Ibn Qaim claim that this hadith is wrong because Allah said that he will fill the hell from the human and jinn, not other creatures, Imam Bulqiny rejected this hadith and said that Allah will not oppress anyone, and creating any creature to fill the hell is a kind of oppression, and Ibn Hajar said: this is an anomaly or Shudud at this Hadith.80 Likewise, there is a Hadith in Bukhari and Muslim saying that the Prophet (SAW) married Maimunah RA and he was in ihram,81 while Maimunah herself says that the Prophet was not higher than al-muhadith; Abu Hafs Mahmud bin Ahmad bin Mahmud Tahan al-Naymy, Tayyir Mustalah al-hadith (Beirut: Maktabat al-Ma’arif, 2004), 182 & 20.
76Ibid., 591.
77Ibid., 591-592.
78Ibid., 592.
79al-Bukhari, Vol. 9, 134; hadith number 7449.
80al-Albaniy, Sulsilah al-Ahadith al-Sahihah wa shay’ min Fiqhiha wa fawa’ediha, Vol. 6 (Riyad: Maktabah al-Ma’arif, 2002), 93.
81al-Bukhari, Vol. 3, 15; hadith number 1837.
in *ihram* when married her. Also, this Hadith is in line with another narration that the Prophet (SAW) said that a person who is in *ihram* can neither marry himself nor can marry others. Ibn Musayyib was asked what he thinks about this hadith, he replied that Ibn Abbas was wrong and the Maimunah’s narration is accurate.82

C. Hadiths of al-Bukhari which Considered *Daif* by Other Scholars

There are some Hadiths in the book of al-Bukhari, which some scholars have called weak and *da'if*, some of which are as below:

The narration of Hamam bin Yahya about three-persons in Bany Israel: leper, blind, and *ding*.83 Despite this Hadith is narrated by both Bukhari and Muslim al-'Uaqiliy mentioned it in al-Du‘afâ‘â and considered it weak saying this is the speech of Ubaid bin Umair and this is not hadith.84 The reason why al-'Uqailiy weakened this Hadith is that there is a narrator called Hamam bin Yahya and he has weak memory as mentioned by al-Saji, Abu Hatim, and Yazid bin Zurai‘. Al-'Uqailiy argues that Hamam narrated this Hadith from his memory and this is not narrated by Ahmad bin Hanbal and by the owners of *kutub al-Sunan* which indicates that this Hadith is weak. Similarly, there is a mistake in this Hadith’s text and that is replacing the word “*arad-Allah*” Allah wanted to… with the word “*bda-lillah*” It seemed to Allah, some scholars of Hadith believe that the attributing al-Bada ‘to Allah is a Jewish belief and according to Islamic teaching it is not fair to attribute it to Allah.86

There is another Hadith that the prophet said: does not love the Ansar except the believers, and does not hate them except the hypocrites.87 In this Hadith, there is a narrator by the name of A’di bin Thabit who the scholars of Hadith spoken about him. For Example, Ahmad bin Hanbal said: he was trustworthy and *thuqah* but he was Shi’ite, Imam Al-Dar al-Qutny said: he is *thuqah* but he is an extreme Shi’ite, Ibn Mu’in said: he was an extreme Shi’ite.88 and Abu Hatim said: he is Sadoog but he was the Imam of a Shi’ite Masjid and he was their judge.89 According to scholars a Hadith should not be narrated from a person who is *mubtadi‘i* and invite others to join his sect.90 Also, a scholar of Hadith argues that a *mubtadi‘i* is not eligible to be a narrator of Hadith, as Sufyan al-Thawry said: anyone who hears Hadith from a *mubtadi‘i* he will not benefit from his narration and anyone who shook hand with a *mubtadi‘i* he has invalidated Islam’s loins.91

Another example can be a Hadith in Şahiğ al-Bukhari in which Anas narrates that when the Prophet (SAW) spoke he used to repeat his speech three times and he used to greet them three times whenever he came to a meeting.92 Al-Zahaby said: this is from the oddity or *al-gharabah* of Şahiğ al-Bukhari, this hadith narrated from Abdul Samad bin Abdul Warith,93 Abu Hatim Said: he is honest with good Hadiths, Ibn Qany

---

83al-Bukhari, Vol. 4, 171; hadith number 3464.
87al-Bukhari, Vol. 5, 32; hadith number 3783.
89Ibid., 7/165.
92al-Bukhari, Vol. 1, 30; hadith number 95.
said: he was *thuqah* with doing mistakes.\(^{94}\) Ibn Mu‘in said: he is nothing, al-Nasa‘i said: he is not strong, Ibn Haban said: probably doing mistakes, Abu Daud said: I do not narrate his Hadiths, Saji said he is weak, and Ibn Mahdi believed that he is mendacious.\(^{95}\)

There is another Hadith that Imam Abu Hanifah rejected that, and it is narrated by Anas bin Malik that: a Jewish man crushed a girl’s head between two stones when the girl was asked who did this to you and because she could not speak, people call names and she was saying no until they called the name of the same Jewish man. The girl pointed out by her head, saying yes. After this, the Jewish man was brought to the prophet and he confessed, so the Prophet (SAW) ordered that his head must be crushed between two stones.\(^{96}\) When this Hadith told Imam Abu Hanifah, he reacted, very strongly and said: This is (*hudyan*) delirium.\(^{97}\)

Similarly, there is another Hadith which is narrated from Aisha Siddiqah that she used to clean the traces of *janabah* from the clothes of the Prophet (SAW) and he used to go to prayer while there were signs of water in her clothes.\(^{98}\) Imam Shafi‘i, said: This Hadith is not proven from Aishah, and there is an error from ‘Amr ibn Maimun in narrating the hadith, as this is the opinion of Sulaiman ibn Yasar, rather than the opinion of Aishah.\(^{99}\)

There is also another Hadith in Bukhari which Imam Ahmad bin Hanbal considered weak. The Prophet said: My *ummah* will be destroyed by this group of Quraysh. The companions asked the Prophet what you would command us to do at that time, he replied that it would be good if the people leave them alone.\(^{100}\) This Hadith has been mentioned by Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal in his *Musnad*, but at the end of this narration, Abdullah ibn Ahmad bin Hanbal said that my father said in the illness in which he passed away, leave this Hadith because it is contrary to other Hadiths of the Prophet that instructs listening to the leader of Muslim’s orders, obeying him, and being patient.\(^{101}\)

**Conclusion**

As a result, considering the past and new scientific theories and researches, it can be said that *Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari* is one of the most correct books after the Holy Qur’an and Imam Bukhari has seriously tried and committed to quote and narrate only the authentic hadiths in his book *Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari*. For this reason, he has set very difficult and strict conditions for narrating a hadith from others. Despite all that, Imam Bukhari is a human being, and *Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari* is a human work, and human work is not free of mistakes. Therefore, it is possible that Imam Bukhari also made mistakes in citing and narrating some weak narrations, and considered them authentic hadiths as stated in the examples. The scholars such as Imam Dar al-Qutny and Imam Nasiruddin al-Albany and other scholars testified that there are *ḍa‘if* hadiths in *Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari*. Therefore, it can be said that there are a small number of weak hadiths in Bukhari. And the existence of the above hadiths does not reduce the scientific status of Imam Bukhari and his book among the Muslims. Similarly, believing in the existence of weak hadiths in *Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhari* does not mean denying the hadiths or enmity with the hadiths of the Prophet, but it is an attempt to separate the authentic and correct hadiths from the weak and nonauthentic ones.
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